

Moral Reasoning

Brief Intro



Some fundamental considerations

- # Is there a “proper” normative ethical model?
 - What is **your** normative model and how do you justify it?
 - What is the normative model “they” (the position or person you’re critiquing) are operating with and how do they justify it?
 - # How does one critique other moral models (**macro-criticism**)?
 - Incomplete or inadequate
 - Incoherent or contradictory
 - Not applicable to other people in relevantly similar circumstances and contexts
-

Some fundamental considerations

- Does it imply there are no moral principles?
 - Exactly what is their argument—write it out
 - Is it relativistic and possibly have cross-cultural application problems; does it go against our deepest intuitions?
 - Does it really help people to get along and produce a harmony?
 - Does it help people internally to become “good” people; is it merely trying to legislate morality?
 - Does it head the culture/organization in the right direction (most controversial)?
-

Some fundamental considerations

- # Is the normative model properly applied to the situation (micro-criticism)?
 - Does it properly take the facts into account?
 - If it is teleological, what is account of the Good it's seeking?
 - How do you calculate “the greatest pleasure/good for the greatest number?”
 - Is it manipulative or deceptive?
-

Other fundamental considerations

The 'Is/Ought' dichotomy

- 'Is' has to do with the facts; what are the facts?
 - 'Ought' has to do with moral judgment about the facts
 - A fundamental question is whether there is a gap between the two (a real dichotomy) or not
 - If there is a gap, what kind is it? Logical? Category?
 - Is the 'is/ought' distinction moral theory dependent?
-

Moral Statements Schema

